In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois

Aaron Fillmore,

V

NO.3:22-CV-02705-605

Latiga Hughes, et al. Défendants.

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND THE JUDGMENT

Now comes plaintiff Aaron Fillmore, prose, with his objections and motion to alter or amend the judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 59(e) based upon a manifest error of fact.

## BACKGROUND

1.) Plaintiff is a state prisoner and tiled a \$1983 claim against defendants for deliberate indifference and tailing to accommodate his disability, thus denying him programs, services and activities. (DOC 1). Plaintiff has a known and clear permanent physical disability that mandates alternative cutting. (DOC 1, 24).

On March 28, 2025 the Court entered a judgment in forcer of defendants on plaintiffs ADA/RA and delberate indifference claims in this matter.

## ARGUMENT

PLAINTIFF ESTABLISHED DISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS
OF ADA/ RA AND DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE
AGAINST DEFENDANTS MYERS AND WISE IN
FAILING TO ACCOMMODATE HIS DISABILITY

Plaintiff alleyes a manifest error of fact by this

1.) The court's finding that plaintiff did not allege or produce evidence of injury is contrary to the record. (Order @ 10). Plaintiff's verified complaint at 97 17 ? 24 Clearly alleges that only through the use of excessive force it is impossible to cuff plaintiff behind his back without cousing unnecessary and wanton infliction of poin and injury. Plaintiff's swarn deposition testimony also alleges this undisputed material fact.

(Pl. Depo. at p. 96 line 4.16). Plaintiff could not be handleffed behind his back, it was physically impossible. (Pl. Depo. at p.115 lines 3-8) (Doc 24).

Page 2 of 5

A failure to accommodate claim is not about Substandard medical care, nor medical malpractice. Brown v. Meisner, 81 F. 4th 706, 709 (1th air. 2023). To prevail under a failure to accommodate claim, a plaintiff must show that defendant reasonably could have accommodated his disability but refused to do so. Finley v. Hust, 100 F. 4th 789,820 (6th cir. 2024). This is a classic ADA/RA Violation case where plaintiff was physically unable to be cuffed behind his back due to his permanent disability and was decided all accommodations by defendants. (See: Pl. Depo. at p.71 lines 15-22; p.72 lines 13-15; p.79 lines 2-5; p. 95 lines 9-25; p. 96 lines 1, 4-16; p. 100 lines 1-5; p. 115 lines 3-11). Plaintiff was forced to deny/refuse programs outside of his dell by defendants refusal to renew his medical permit, tailure to renew plaintiffs medical permit for alternative cutting for his permanent physical disability is deliberate indifference. Thomas v. Martia, 991 F.35 763, 769-70 (7th Cir. 2021); Palmer V. Franz, 928 F.3d 560, 564 (7th cir. 2019).

Therefore, the court's finding that plaintiff "claims it hurt when he was cuffed behind the back" and not alleged "that he was physically unable to be cuffed behind his back" is a manifest error of fact.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests this court to after and amend its March 28, 2025 summary judgment against him on his ADA/RA and deliberate indifference clouis in Counts 1.3 in this matter.

April 2, 2025

Auron Fillmore # 90259, NENMCF 185 Dr. Michael Jenkins Rd. Clayton, NM 88415

Respectfully Submitted.

## CERTIFICIATE OF SERVICE

I, Aaron Fillmore, hereby declare under Sec. 1746, 28 U.S.C. that I served upon:

Jennifer Powell ASTIST. Afterney General 201 W. Pointe Dr. Ste. #7 Belleville, IL 62226 Alison Matusofsky E Cassiday Schade, Clb 100 N. Broadway #1580 St. Louis, MO 63102

a true copy of: Plaintiff Objections and Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment by U.S. Mail by placing same in Nennce institutional mail for mailing with proper first-class postage pariel on April 2, 2025

A Jul

Aaron Fillmore #90259,NENMCF 185 Dr. Michael Jenkins Rd. Clayton, NM 88415

ALBUQUERQUE NM 870 4 APR 2025 PM 2 L

FOREVER / USA

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court,
Southern District of Illinois
750 Missouri Ave.

62201\$2954 COO2 . HIPMININI HIPMINI HIPMININI HIPMINI HIPMINI

LEGAL MAIL





THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT

© USPS 2022